Examiner-Initiated			Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Interview Summary	n/	10/565,137	ELIU ET AL.
		"' ^y	Examiner	Art Unit
			Fiona T. Powers	1626
All Participants:	Status of Application:			
(1) <u>Fiona T. Powers</u> .	: :		(3)	·
(2) <u>Mervin Wood</u> .	<u>.</u>		(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 September 2007 Time:				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:				
Part I.	· :			
	; :			
Rejection(s) discussed: NONE	: !			
Claims discussed: NONE	: !			
Prior art documents discussed: NONE				
Part II.			•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:				
The examiner contacted Mr. Wood to ask if the specification at page 17, line 9 should be amended by deleting "14 to 16" and inserting -12 to 14 Mr Wood stated that the specification should be amended as discussed above.				
Part III.	· ·			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview 				
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.				
	•		•	
	:		·	
•				
	:		•	
	:	11.3K		
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(A	Applicant/A	Applicant's Representative S	Signature – if appropriate)